

**CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY AND
ADVANCED TRANSPORTATION FINANCING AUTHORITY**

***Consideration of Recology East Bay's Request to Waive a 25% Purchase Requirement under
the SB 71 Program***

Tuesday, June 19, 2012

Prepared By: *Heather Williams*

Applicant – Recology East Bay

Location – Oakland, Alameda County

Industry – Biomass Processing and Fuel Production

Project – New Onsite Food Scrap Pre-Processing Facility for Existing Biomass Processing and
Fuel Production Facility

Value of Qualified Property – \$3,703,090

Estimated Sales and Use Tax Exclusion Amount¹ – \$336,981

Amount of Time Requested: 18 months (December 31, 2013)

SUMMARY

Recology East Bay's ("Recology" or the "Applicant") Application was approved by the CAEATFA Board on June 28, 2011 for the purchase of \$3,703,090 in Qualified Property for a new onsite food scrap pre-processing facility in Oakland, Alameda County for an existing biomass processing and fuel production facility. The SB 71 Program ("Program") requires that Recology purchase 25% (\$925,772.50) of the total approved Qualified Property within the first year (Regulation Section 10035(c)(1)), the "25% Purchase Requirement." This requirement serves as an indicator of readiness and assists in incentivizing timely economic activity. The 25% Purchase Requirement can be waived by the Board upon a finding that it is in the public interest and advances the purposes of the Program (Regulation Section 10035(c)(1)(A)).

As of June 1, 2012 Recology has not conveyed any Qualified Property. On May 8, 2012, CAEATFA staff sent the Applicant a courtesy reminder of the 25% Purchase Requirement. Recology responded by requesting an extension of the 25% Purchase Requirement to December 31, 2013 to accommodate unforeseen delays in the Project's permitting process (Attachment A).

About the Applicant

Recology was incorporated on June 29, 1998 in California and is headquartered in Oakland, California. Recology manufactures biomass from food scraps.

¹ This amount is calculated based off of the average statewide sales tax rate of 9.1% at the time the Application was approved by the Board. The current anticipated STE is \$299,950, calculated at 8.1%.

Project Description

Recology's Application approved by the CAEATFA Board was for the pre-processing of food scraps to be used in biofuel production. Recology has provided biomass material (food scraps) as feedstock for the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) for the generation of electricity and heat at the Municipal Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) in West Oakland, California. The food scraps are collected from area restaurants and grocery stores through collection programs that keep the materials from going to landfills. As collected, the food scraps are too large and/or contaminated to be fed directly into the digester for purposes of generating biogas (methane). Recology collects the food scraps and pre-processes them in preparation for anaerobic digestion. EBMUD then utilizes the processed biomass in an anaerobic digester to create biogas to generate energy.

Pre-processing is currently done at a Recology facility in Vacaville and the processed material is transported to the WWTP for anaerobic digestion. Recology plans to build a food scrap pre-processing facility within the WWTP footprint. Co-locating the pre-processing facility by the anaerobic digesters on the WWTP reduces the travel required to move the food scraps. The food scraps pre-processing facility will be built, owned and operated by Recology on a portion of the existing WWTP under a land-lease agreement. At the ultimate capacity, the food scraps digestion project will generate enough renewable energy – approximately 2.5 megawatts of power – to power 3,700 California households.

Waiver Request

Recology has requested a waiver of the 25% Purchase Requirement due to a delay in obtaining Project permits, which the Applicant represents is a result of a change in regulations and understaffing at the local authority level.

According to the Applicant, there were significant delays in receiving a Development Permit from the Port of Oakland due to the department being understaffed as well as the Port's request to be included as a responsible agency in the Environmental Impact Review (EIR), requiring the certified EIR to be amended. Additional delays were related to the Port's review of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) document.

Additionally, the Applicant represents there were setbacks in the inclusion of the planned facility in the City of Oakland's Non-Disposal Facility Element (NDFE); a change in the state regulations effective January 1, 2012 pertaining to the process for amending a NDFE, which delayed the process by which the City of Oakland reviewed and approved new facilities. Recology recently learned that the City of Oakland did not review or comment on the EIR in 2011, prior to certification and has therefore requested additional information on how the facility intends to reduce air emissions, specifically particulate matter.

Permitting delays caused a project delay for Recology of ten months. As a result, Recology has delayed purchasing two components of its system that it had planned to purchase in June of this

year. Recology has entered into an agreement to procure one element of the preprocessing system after a 30-day trial period. Components of the system have been shipped and are on the way to Recology facilities. Recology anticipates completing the procurement process for this component by mid-August of this year.

Staff Evaluation

According to the Program's evaluation process at the time of initial Board approval, the Project is anticipated to produce a net benefit of an estimated \$281,113 with an anticipated fiscal benefit of \$506,851 and anticipated environmental benefit of \$111,243 over the life of the equipment (weighted average of 14.01 years). The approved Project is anticipated to support a total of 8 permanent jobs and 38 temporary construction jobs.

Recology has represented that an 18 month time extension will allow the Project to move forward. Recology has further represented that the delays in the permitting process and purchasing equipment were unanticipated and could not be reasonably avoided. Recology has also represented that the Project remains substantially the same as it was at the time of initial Board Approval. Based on the foregoing, staff believes that extending the 25% Purchase Requirement is consistent with the intent of the Program, is in the public interest, and advances the purposes of the Program.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Board find it is in the public interest and advances the purposes of the Program to extend the 25% Purchase Requirement and grant such extension to December 31, 2013 pursuant to Regulations Section 10035(c)(1)(A).

Attachments

Attachment A: Recology's Letter Requesting Waiver dated June 6, 2012

Attachment B: Staff Summary/Project Description approved by the CAEATFA Board on
June 28, 2011

**A RESOLUTION OF
CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY AND ADVANCED TRANSPORTATION
FINANCING AUTHORITY APPROVING A TIME EXTENSION OF THE 25%
PURCHASE REQUIREMENT**

June 19, 2012

WHEREAS, on June 28, 2011 the California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority (the “Authority”), a public instrumentality of the State of California, approved a Sales Tax Exclusion (“STE”) in the amount of \$3,703,090 of Qualified Property for **Recology East Bay** (the “Applicant”); and

WHEREAS, within one year of approval by the Authority, the Applicant must make purchases of Qualified Property totaling not less than twenty-five percent (25.0%) of the total amount listed in the approval resolution (Regulations Section 10035(c)(1));

WHEREAS, upon a finding that it is in the public interest and advances the purposes of the Program, the Authority may waive the requirement that the Applicant purchase at least twenty five percent (25.0%) of Qualified Property within one year of Board Approval (Regulations Section 10035(c)(1)(A)); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has requested a waiver for the requirement to purchase 25% of Qualified Property within one year to address unanticipated and unavoidable delays in the Project’s permitting process, and extend the term from June 28, 2012 to December 31, 2013; and

WHEREAS, granting the waiver will allow the project to proceed and the state to receive anticipated environmental and economic benefits that justified the initial approval of the project in accordance with the law, thereby advancing both the public interest and the purposes of the program.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority, as follows:

Section 1. The Authority finds that it is in the public interest and advances the purposes of the Program to waive the requirement that the first year purchases of Qualified Property are at least twenty-five percent (25.0%) of the total amount listed in the approval resolution, and extend the term to December 31, 2013.

Section 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

Attachment A: Recology's Letter Requesting Waiver



June 6, 2012

Christine Solich, Executive Director
California Alternative Energy & Advanced Transportation Financing Authority
915 Capitol Mall, Room 457
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Re: SB 71 Advanced Transportation and Alternative Source Manufacturing Sales and Use Tax
Exclusion Program – 25% Purchase Requirement Compliance for Recology East Bay**

Dear Ms. Solich:

In order to comply with CAEATFA's requirement that Recology purchase twenty-five percent of the total Qualified Property approved for a sales and use tax exclusion by June 28, 2012, Recology requests an extension of the CAEATFA Expenditure Obligation to December 31, 2013.

Due to extraordinary delays receiving our Development Permit from the Port of Oakland and setbacks in the inclusion of the planned facility in the City of Oakland's Non-Disposal Facility Element (NDFE), Recology has seen this project delayed by ten months. There was a change in the state regulations, effective January 1, 2012 pertaining to the process for amending an NDFE, which originally delayed the process by which City of Oakland reviewed and approved new facilities. Recology recently learned that the City of Oakland did not review or comment on the EIR in 2011, prior to certification and has therefore requested additional information on how the facility intends to reduce air emissions, specifically particulate matter (PM) which is addressed in the attached letter to the City of Oakland, prepared by Recology dated May 21, 2012.

Significant delays in the Port of Oakland's permitting process are due to the department being woefully understaffed, as well as to the Port's request to be included as a responsible agency in the certified EIR. This request required the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD), the lead agency for the preparation and certification of the EIR, amend the certified EIR. Additional delays are related to the Port's review of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) document. As a result of these holdups, we have delayed purchasing two components of our system that we planned to purchase late June of this year.

We have already entered into an agreement to procure one element of the preprocessing system after a 30-day trial period. Components of the system have been shipped and are on the way to our facilities. We anticipate completing the procurement process for this component by mid-August of this year.

Agenda Item -- 4.A.1
Application No. 11-SM005

We anticipate meeting our three year requirement. However, given the delays we have experienced to date, the time required for soliciting bids and building the facility, our procurement schedule has been revised in anticipation of further delays.

The new estimated permitting schedule is outlined below:



- August 31, 2012: \$450,000 preprocessing system
- December 31, 2013: \$3.1M building
- April 1, 2014: \$720,000 mobile equipment (two loaders)
- June 28, 2014: \$1.3M trucks and balance of equipment

Recology plans to meet our revised schedule through several face-to-face meetings and presentations with the local agencies mentioned above. We have been able to correspond with one of the agencies regarding the best way to expedite the permitting process and that has given us a level of assurance about the revised procurement schedule.

Thank you for your assistance with this process and review of our request for an extension. We look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Mark Lomele
Recology Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President



May 21, 2012

Ms. Becky Dowdakin
Solid Waste & Recycling Program Supervisor
City of Oakland Public Works Agency
Environmental Services Division
250 Frank Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5301
Oakland, CA 94612

Re: Recology Organic-Rich Materials Preprocessing Facility at EBMUD

Dear Ms. Dowdakin:

On behalf of Recology East Bay Organics (REBO), this letter responds to the City of Oakland's request on May 11, 2012 for additional information on REBO's Organic-Rich Materials Preprocessing Facility and the facility's efforts to reduce air emissions and specifically particulate matter (PM). As you know, the facility will be located at East Bay Municipal Utility District's (EBMUD) Main Wastewater Treatment Plant at 2020 Wake Avenue in Oakland. As part of the project, a combined Program/Project Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was prepared and certified by the EBMUD Board of Directors on June 28, 2011.

Since certification of the EIR, REBO has further refined the project at the facility, effectively reducing air emissions, including PM, than was originally analyzed and presented in the EIR. These refinements include updating the process to remove one half of the diesel-powered rolling stock. The balance of the processing equipment is powered by electricity.

In addition to complying with all the required EIR Mitigation Measures, additional measures to be undertaken include:

1. Using Recology fleet vehicles that are fueled with B20 fuel. B20 is a biodiesel-petroleum blend in a ratio of twenty to eighty (twenty percent biodiesel). The use of B20 biodiesel results in a ten percent reduction of particulate matter compared to emissions of regular petroleum diesel;
2. Employing the best available technology to control particulate matter for our off-road equipment and Tier 4 technology as it becomes available;
3. Eventually converting our fleet to natural gas vehicles and phasing out the use of diesel vehicles over time. The use of natural gas vehicles will result in reduced air emissions, including PM.
4. Complying with all standard EBMUD construction specifications such as:
 - a) Development of a Dust Control and Monitoring Plan for the REBO facility to control construction related dust; and
 - b) Compliance with equipment and vehicle idling which limits idling of all diesel-fueled commercial vehicles (weighing over 10,000 pounds, both California and non-California based trucks) to five minutes at any location.
5. Recology using hand-outs/brochures prepared by the City of Oakland detailing the appropriate measures and technology to reduce PM to disseminate this information to non-Recology haulers entering the REBO facility.

As a leader in resource recovery and landfill diversion, Recology is committed to sustainability, being a responsible corporate partner, and to reducing our overall impacts. The measures noted above enhance Recology's efforts to reduce air emissions, including PM at the facility and demonstrate Recology's commitment to reducing air emissions.

Thank you for your assistance with this project. If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 657-4050 or by email at mcrosetti@recology.com.

Sincerely,



Mike Crosetti
General Manager – Recology East Bay Organics

cc: W. Redic, City of Oakland
A. Chakrabarti, EBMUD
M. Thome, Recology

Attachment B: Staff Summary at Board Approval

**CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE ENERGY AND
ADVANCED TRANSPORTATION FINANCING AUTHORITY**

Request to Approve Project for SB 71 Sales and Use Tax Exclusion²

**RECOLOGY EAST BAY
Application No. 11-SM005**

Tuesday, June 28, 2011

Prepared By: *Heather Williams*

SUMMARY

Applicant – Recology East Bay

Location – Oakland, Alameda County

Industry – Biomass Processing and Fuel Production

Project – New Onsite Food Scrap Pre-Processing Facility for Existing Biomass Processing and Fuel Production Facility

Value of Qualified Property – \$3,703,090

Estimated Sales and Use Tax Exclusion Amount³ – \$336,981

Estimated Net Benefits - \$281,113

Application Score -

Fiscal Benefits Points:	1,504
<u>Environmental Benefits Points:</u>	<u>330</u>
Net Benefits Score:	1,834

<u>Additional Benefits Points:</u>	<u>15</u>
Total Score:	1,849

Staff Recommendation – Approval

² All capitalized terms not defined in this document are defined in the Program’s statute and regulations.

³ This amount is calculated based off of the average statewide sales tax rate of 9.1%.

THE APPLICANT

Recology East Bay (“Recology” or “Applicant”) was incorporated on June 29, 1998 in California and is headquartered in Oakland, California. Recology manufactures biomass from food scraps.

Recology Inc. owns Recology East Bay. Recology Inc. is owned by an Employee Stock Ownership Plan.

The corporate officers of Recology are:
Michael Sangiacomo, Chief Executive Officer
George McGrath, Chief Operating Officer
Mark Lomele, Chief Financial Officer
Art Cimento, Chief Development Officer

THE PROJECT

Since 2003, Recology has provided biomass material (food scraps) as feedstock for the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) for the generation of electricity and heat at the Municipal Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) in West Oakland, California. The food scraps are collected from area restaurants and grocery stores through collection programs that keep the materials from going to landfills. As collected, the food scraps are too large and/or contaminated to be fed directly into the digester for purposes of generating biogas (methane). Recology collects the food scraps and pre-processes them in preparation for anaerobic digestion. EBMUD then utilizes the processed biomass in an anaerobic digester to create biogas to generate energy.

Pre-processing is currently done at a Recology facility in Vacaville and the processed material is transported to the WWTP for anaerobic digestion. This process requires Recology to move the unprocessed food scraps from a transfer station in San Francisco to Vacaville for pre-processing and back to Oakland for processing and digestion to generate energy, roughly 100 miles per trip cycle.

Recology plans to build a food scrap pre-processing facility within the WWTP footprint. Co-locating the pre-processing facility by the anaerobic digesters on the WWTP reduces the long-haul travel required to move the food scraps. The food scraps pre-processing facility will be built, owned and operated by Recology on a portion of the existing WWTP under a land-lease agreement. EBMUD has an existing food scraps processing facility, which was recently approved for expansion to treat up to 250 tons per day of pre-processed food scraps. The pre-processing building, ancillary facilities, processing systems, scale house and office space would occupy approximately 1.4 acres of land on the property. At the ultimate capacity, the food scraps digestion project will generate enough renewable energy – approximately 2.5 megawatts of power – to power 3,700 California households.

ANTICIPATED COSTS OF QUALIFIED PROPERTY

The anticipated Qualified Property purchases are listed below:

Building shell and pad	\$1,569,100
Scale house and scales	167,640
Pipes	173,000
Water connections	37,000
Mobile equipment, except dump trucks (Bobcat, loader, excavator)	461,000
Stationary equipment (conveyors, screens, grinders, fuel tank, debris boxes)	908,000
Trucks – two end dumps	152,000
Office supplies and uniforms (safety vests, glasses, gloves, masks, boots)	27,350
Replacement parts for mobile and stationary equipment	<u>208,000</u>
Total	<u>\$3,703,090</u>

Note: The Qualified Property purchases reported in the Application and shown here in staff's report are estimated costs. At the termination of the conveyance/reconveyance agreement a finalized Project equipment list will be prepared detailing the value of the Project equipment conveyed and reconveyed and detailing the actual tax benefit realized pursuant to Revenue and Tax Code Section 6010.8. Variations from the costs shown in the Application and in this report may occur prior to the closing due to increased costs of certain components of the Project from original estimates, and other reasons. In addition, such costs may vary after closing due also to increased costs, as well as common design and equipment modifications during construction, differences in equipment due to future changes in law or regulation or for other reasons.

TIMELINE

The pre-processing facility has been designed and the Applicant has begun the Environmental Impact Review (EIR) process. Construction of the facility is anticipated to start in January 2012, and operations are scheduled to commence between May and August of 2012.

PROJECT EVALUATION

NET BENEFITS

The total cost of the Qualified Property purchases is anticipated to be \$3,703,090 and the total net benefits are valued at \$281,113 for the Project. The Project received a Total Score of 1,849 points, which exceeds the required 1,000 point threshold and a total Environmental Benefits Score of 330 points, which exceeds the 100 point threshold.

- A. Fiscal Benefits (1,504 points).** The net present value of the total fiscal benefits over the lifetime of the Qualified Property is derived from the Applicant's sales taxes, personal income taxes paid by the firm's employees, firm taxes on profits, property

taxes and other indirect fiscal benefits of the Applicant which amounts to \$506,852, resulting in a Fiscal Benefits Score of 1,504 points for the Project.

- B. Environmental Benefits (330 points).** The Project will result in \$111,243 of total pollution benefits over the life of the Facility resulting in an Environmental Benefits Score of 330 points for the Project. These benefits derive from (a) the production of biomass fuel, which offsets the need for fossil fuel derived sources of energy and (b) a reduction of methane emissions from material that would otherwise be sent to a landfill.
- C. Additional Benefits (15 of 200 points).** Applicants may earn up to 200 additional points for their Total Score. The Applicant submitted information and received 15 additional points.
- a. Permanent Jobs (0 of 40 points).** The Applicant's Project will support a total of 8 permanent jobs at its Facility. CAEATFA estimates that approximately one of these jobs will be attributable to a marginal increase in jobs created due to the approved STE. Zero points were awarded because the marginal increase in jobs does not meet the required threshold.
 - b. Construction Jobs (15 of 20 points).** The Applicant's Project will support a total of 38 construction jobs at its Facility. CAEATFA estimates that approximately 4 of these jobs will be attributable to a marginal increase in jobs created due to the approved STE, resulting in a Construction Jobs Score of 15 points for the Project.

STATUS OF PERMITS/OTHER REQUIRED APPROVALS

The facility requires solid waste and air permits. The process for obtaining these is estimated to be six to nine months after the environmental impact report is reviewed and approved. Recology anticipates these permits will be granted by November or December of 2011.

LEGAL QUESTIONNAIRE

Staff reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. The Executive Director, in consultation with legal counsel has determined that the legal issues disclosed do not affect the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

CAEATFA FEES

In accordance with CAEATFA Regulations,⁴ the Applicant has paid CAEATFA an Application Fee of \$2,484.99 and will pay CAEATFA an Administrative Fee of \$15,000.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of Resolution No. 11-SM005 for Recology East Bay's purchase of Qualified Property in an amount not to exceed \$3,703,090 anticipated to result in an approximate sales and use tax exclusion value of \$336,981.

⁴ California Code of Regulations Title 4, Division 13, Article 2, Section 10036

**RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A TITLE
CONVEYANCE AGREEMENT WITH RECOLOGY EAST BAY**

WHEREAS, the California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority (the “Authority” or “CAEATFA”) has received the Application of **Recology East Bay** (the “Applicant”), for financial assistance in the form of a conveyance/reconveyance of title agreement (the “Agreement”) regarding tangible personal property for the design, manufacture, production or assembly of Advanced Transportation Technologies or Alternative Source products, components, or systems (“Qualified Property”) as more particularly described in the staff summary and in the Applicant’s Application to the Authority (collectively, the “Project”); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has requested the Authority to enter into the Agreement transferring title of Project equipment with an estimated cost not to exceed \$3,703,090 over a period of three years; and

WHEREAS, the Agreement will provide that the Applicant will, prior to any use of the Qualified Property, transfer title at no cost to the Authority from time to time as purchases of Qualified Property are made and the Authority will then transfer title back to the Applicant without having taken possession of the Qualified Property; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant believes that this form of financial assistance will enable it to avail itself of the benefits of an exclusion from sales and use taxes relative to the Qualified Property pursuant to California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 6010.8; and

WHEREAS, approval of the terms of the Agreement and authority for the Executive Director, Deputy Executive Director, or Chair of the Authority, to execute the necessary documents to effectuate the Agreement is now sought;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority, as follows:

Section 1. The Project constitutes a “project” within the meaning of Public Resources Code Section 26003(g)(2).

Section 2. The requested conveyance agreement constitutes “financial assistance” within the meaning of Public Resources Code Section 26003(e)(2).

Section 3. The Applicant is a “participating party” within the meaning of Public Resources Code Section 26003(f).

Agenda Item – 4.A.1
Resolution No. 11-SM005
Application No. 11-SM005

Section 4. The Executive Director, Deputy Executive Director, or Chair of the Authority (the “Authorized Signatories”) are hereby authorized for and on behalf of the Authority to approve any changes to the Project as the Executive Director shall deem appropriate, provided that the amount of the Qualified Property to be purchased may not be increased above the amount approved by the Authority.

Section 5. The proposed form of the Agreement between the Applicant and the Authority, as filed with the Authority prior to this meeting, is hereby approved. The Authorized Signatories are hereby authorized and directed, for and on behalf and in the name of the Authority, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to the Applicant the Agreement in substantially the form filed with or approved by the Authority, with such insertions, deletions or changes therein as the Authorized Signatory executing the same, may require or approve, and with particular information inserted therein in substantial conformance with the staff summary and in the Applicant’s Application to the Authority, such approval to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery thereof. The Authority understands and agrees that pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the obligations of the Applicant may, under some circumstances be carried out or assumed by a successor or assignee entity, or by an affiliate of the Applicant.

Section 6. Each of the Authorized Signatories, acting alone, is hereby authorized and directed to do any and all ministerial acts, including (without limitation) the execution and delivery of any and all documents and certificates they may deem necessary or advisable in order to consummate the Agreement and otherwise effectuate the purposes of this resolution.

Section 7. The Applicant shall assure CAEATFA that all Qualified Property conveyance pursuant to the Agreement shall be installed, maintained and operated in compliance with all applicable local, state and federal laws.

Section 8. The Agreement shall only apply to Qualified Property that the Applicant certifies will be installed, maintained and operated at facilities within the State of California.

Section 9. The adoption by the Authority of this Resolution for the Applicant shall not be referred to in any application before any governmental agency as evidence of the feasibility, practicality or suitability of the Project or in any application for any required permission or authority to acquire, construct or operate the Project.

Section 10. This Resolution is effective immediately and will remain in full force and effect unless the Regulatory Agreement, as defined in CAEATFA Regulations Section 10035(A), is not executed within thirty (30) days of the date of this Resolution. The Executive Director may extend the thirty days if necessary.